# Mathematics and numerics for data assimilation and state estimation - Lecture 14 

Summer semester 2020

## Overview

1 Filtering in continuous state-space

2 The Kalman filter

## Summary of lecture 13

- In additive Gaussian noise setting (both for dynamics and observations), smoothing density for $V_{0: J} \mid Y_{1: J}=y_{1: J}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi\left(v_{0: J} \mid y_{1: J}\right)=\frac{1}{Z} \exp (- & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{J}\left|h\left(v_{j}\right)-y_{j}\right|_{\Gamma}^{2} \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{2}\left|v_{0}-m_{0}\right|_{c_{0}}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{J-1}\left|v_{j+1}-\Psi\left(v_{j}\right)\right|_{\Sigma}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Stability of the density wrt perturbations (under some assumptions on the dynamics),

$$
d_{H}\left(\pi_{V_{0: J} \mid Y_{1: J}}\left(\cdot \mid y_{1: J}\right), \pi_{V_{0: J} \mid Y_{1: J}}\left(\cdot \mid \tilde{y}_{1: J}\right)\right) \leq c \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{J}\left|y_{j}-\tilde{y}_{j}\right|^{2}}
$$

■ For deterministic dynamics with uncertain initial condition, we derived a smoothing density for $V_{0} \mid Y_{1: J}=y_{1: J}$.

## Overview

1 Filtering in continuous state-space

## 2 The Kalman filter

## Dynamics and observation setting

Continuous state-space dynamics: A mapping $\Psi \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ is associated to the dynamics

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{j+1} & =\Psi\left(V_{j}\right)+\xi_{j}, \quad j=0,1, \ldots  \tag{1}\\
V_{0} & \sim N\left(m_{0}, C_{0}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

with an iid sequence $\xi_{j} \sim N(0, \Sigma)$.
Observations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{j}=h\left(V_{j}\right)+\eta_{j}, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}^{k}\right)$ and iid sequence $\eta_{j} \sim N(0, \Gamma)$.
Independence assumptions:

$$
\left\{\eta_{j}\right\} \perp\left\{\xi_{j}\right\} \perp\left\{V_{0}\right\}
$$

Objective: Derive iterative formulas for pdfs of $V_{n} \mid Y_{1: n}=y_{1: n}$ and $V_{n+1} \mid Y_{1: n}=y_{1: n}$ for $n \geq 1$.

## Filtering - the prediction step

Setting: At time $n \geq 0$, we have observations $Y_{1: n}=y_{1: n}$ and we have computed $\pi_{V_{n} \mid Y_{1: n}}\left(v_{n} \mid y_{1: n}\right)=: \pi\left(v_{n} \mid y_{1: n}\right)$ (for $n=0$, we mean by this $\left.\pi_{V_{0}}\left(v_{0}\right)\right)$.

What is the distribution of $V_{n+1} \mid Y_{1: n}=y_{1: n}$ ?
Prediction: By the law of total probability

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi\left(v_{n+1} \mid y_{1: n}\right) & = \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \pi\left(v_{n+1} \mid v_{n}, y_{1: n}\right) \pi\left(v_{n} \mid y_{1: n}\right) d v_{n} \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \pi\left(v_{n+1} \mid v_{n}\right) \pi\left(v_{n} \mid y_{1: n}\right) d v_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

The last step follows from $\xi_{n} \perp\left\{Y_{1: n}\right\}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{n+1}\left|\left(V_{n}=v_{n}, Y_{1: n}=y_{1: n}\right)=\Psi\left(V_{n}\right)+\xi_{n}\right|\left(V_{n}=v_{n}, Y_{1: n}=y_{1: n}\right) \\
& =\Psi\left(v_{n}\right)+\xi_{n} \mid\left(V_{n}=v_{n}, Y_{1: n}=y_{1: n}\right) \\
& =\Psi\left(v_{n}\right)+\xi_{n} \mid\left(V_{n}=v_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Filtering - the analysis step

Setting: At time $n+1$, we have the old observations $Y_{1: n}=y_{1: n}$ and we have computed the prediction density $\pi\left(v_{n+1} \mid y_{1: n}\right)$. Now we seek to assimilate the new observation $Y_{n+1}=y_{n+1}$ into our state estimate.

What is the distribution of $V_{n+1} \mid\left(Y_{1: n}=y_{1: n}, Y_{n+1}=y_{n+1}\right)$ ?

## Analysis step

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi\left(v_{n+1} \mid y_{1: n}, y_{n+1}\right) & =\frac{\pi\left(v_{n+1}, y_{n+1} \mid y_{1: n}\right)}{\pi\left(y_{n+1} \mid y_{1: n}\right)} \\
& =\frac{\pi\left(y_{n+1} \mid v_{n+1}, y_{1: n}\right) \pi\left(v_{n+1} \mid y_{1: n}\right)}{\pi\left(y_{n+1} \mid y_{1: n}\right)} \\
& =\frac{\pi\left(y_{n+1} \mid v_{n+1}\right) \pi\left(v_{n+1} \mid y_{1: n}\right)}{\pi\left(y_{n+1} \mid y_{1: n}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we used that $\eta_{n+1} \perp\left\{Y_{1: n}\right\}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y_{n+1} \mid\left(V_{n+1}=v_{n+1}, Y_{1: n}=y_{1: n}\right) & =h\left(v_{n+1}\right)+\eta_{n+1} \mid\left(V_{n+1}=v_{n+1}, Y_{1: n}=y_{1: n}\right) \\
& =h\left(v_{n+1}\right)+\eta_{n+1} \mid\left(V_{n+1}=v_{n+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Summary filtering steps

## Prediction step:

$$
\pi\left(v_{n+1} \mid y_{1: n}\right)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \pi\left(v_{n+1} \mid v_{n}\right) \pi\left(v_{n} \mid y_{1: n}\right) d v_{n}
$$

## Analysis step:

$$
\pi\left(v_{n+1} \mid y_{1: n+1}\right)=\frac{\pi\left(y_{n+1} \mid v_{n+1}\right) \pi\left(v_{n+1} \mid y_{1: n}\right)}{\pi\left(y_{n+1} \mid y_{1: n}\right)}
$$

## Remarks:

■ $\pi\left(v_{n+1} \mid v_{n}\right)$ is the transition kernel density :

$$
\pi\left(v_{n+1} \mid v_{n}\right) "=" \text { prob density of going from } v_{n} \text { to } v_{n+1}
$$

- Generally, it is not easy to derive usable closed-form filtering densities from the above steps, and they are rather a starting point for approximation filtering algorithms.


## Relationship between smoothing and filtering pdfs

The derived equations are exact both for

- the updated filtering pdf $\pi_{V_{n} \mid Y_{1: n}}\left(v_{n} \mid y_{1: n}\right)$

■ and for the smoothing pdf $\pi_{V_{0: n} \mid Y_{1: n}}\left(v_{0: n} \mid y_{1: n}\right)$.
Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{V_{n} \mid Y_{1: n}}\left(v_{n} \mid y_{1: n}\right)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \ldots \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \pi_{V_{0: n} \mid Y_{1: n}}\left(v_{0: n} \mid y_{1: n}\right) d v_{0} \ldots d v_{n-1} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Explicit computations of either of them is often complicated when $\Psi$ and/or $h$ are nonlinear, following from their effects on the pdf

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi\left(v_{0: n} \mid y_{1: n}\right)=\frac{1}{Z} \exp (- & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|h\left(v_{j}\right)-y_{j}\right|_{\Gamma}^{2} \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{2}\left|v_{0}-m_{0}\right|_{c_{0}}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left|v_{j+1}-\Psi\left(v_{j}\right)\right|_{\Sigma}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Well-posedness of the filter pdf

## Corollary 1 (SST 7.7)

Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a pair of observation sequences $y_{1: n}, \tilde{y}_{1: n} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times n}$, and assume that the dynamics $V_{j}$ satisfies

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{j=0}^{n}\left(1+\left|h\left(V_{j}\right)\right|^{2}\right)\right]<\infty .
$$

Then there exists a constant $c>0$ that depends on $y_{1: n}$ and $\tilde{y}_{1: n}$ such that

$$
d_{T V}\left(\pi_{V_{n} \mid Y_{1: n}}\left(\cdot \mid y_{1: n}\right), \pi_{V_{n} \mid Y_{1: n}}\left(\cdot \mid \tilde{y}_{1: n}\right)\right) \leq c \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|y_{j}-\tilde{y}_{j}\right|^{2}}
$$

## Proof:

We will use

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{H}\left(\pi_{V_{0: n} \mid Y_{1: n}}\left(\cdot \mid y_{1: n}\right), \pi_{V_{0: n} \mid Y_{1: n}}\left(\cdot \mid \tilde{y}_{1: n}\right)\right) \leq c \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|y_{j}-\tilde{y}_{j}\right|^{2}} \tag{LSZ2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that $\quad d_{T V}(\hat{\pi}, \check{\pi}) \leq \sqrt{2} d_{H}(\hat{\pi}, \check{\pi}) \quad$ for any $\hat{\pi}, \check{\pi} \in \mathcal{M}$.
By definition

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{T V}\left(\pi_{V_{n} \mid Y_{1: n}}\left(\cdot \mid y_{1: n}\right), \pi_{V_{n} \mid Y_{1: n}}\left(\cdot \mid \tilde{y}_{1: n}\right)\right)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left|\pi\left(v_{n} \mid y_{1: n}\right)-\pi\left(v_{n} \mid \tilde{y}_{1: n}\right)\right| d v_{n} \\
& \stackrel{(3)}{=} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \ldots \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \pi\left(v_{0: n} \mid y_{1: n}\right)-\pi\left(v_{0: n} \mid \tilde{y}_{1: n}\right) d v_{0} \ldots d v_{n-1}\right| d v_{n} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \ldots \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left|\pi\left(v_{0: n} \mid y_{1: n}\right)-\pi\left(v_{0: n} \mid \tilde{y}_{1: n}\right)\right| d v_{0} \ldots d v_{n} \\
& =d_{T V}\left(\pi_{V_{0: n} \mid Y_{1: n}}\left(\cdot \mid y_{1: n}\right), \pi_{V_{0: n} \mid Y_{1: n}}\left(\cdot \mid \tilde{y}_{1: n}\right)\right) \\
& \leq \sqrt{2} d_{H}\left(\pi_{V_{0: n} \mid Y_{1: n}}\left(\cdot \mid y_{1: n}\right), \pi_{V_{0: n} \mid Y_{1: n}}\left(\cdot \mid \tilde{y}_{1: n}\right)\right) \leq \sqrt{2} c\left|y_{1: n}-\tilde{y}_{1: n}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

## Overview

## 1 Filtering in continuous state-space

2 The Kalman filter

## Kalman filter

- Is the filtering problem with additive Gaussian noise (all independent) and both linear dynamics $\Psi(v)=A v$ and linear observations $h(v)=H v$.

■ In this setting the filtering pdfs will remain Gaussian for all times, and we obtain surprisingly simple recursive formulas the pdfs.

■ Groundbreaking paper by Richard Kalman, "A new approach to linear filtering and
 prediction problems" J. Basic Engineering 1960, has, according to Google Scholar, been cited more than 33000 times.

## Applications in control theory

In many real application, the state estimation and state prediction of filtering is often combined with control

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{j+1} & =A V_{j}+B u_{j}+\xi_{j} & & \text { dynamics } \\
Y_{j} & =H V_{j}+\eta_{j} & & \text { observations }
\end{aligned}
$$

where $u_{j}$ belongs to set of admissible controls, e.g., $u_{j} \in \sigma\left(Y_{1: j}\right)$.
For example, the linear quadratic Gaussian control problem

$$
\min _{u_{n}, u_{n+1}, \ldots, u_{N}} \mathbb{E}\left[V_{N}^{T} Q_{0} V_{N}+\sum_{j=n}^{N}\left(V_{j}^{T} Q_{1} V_{j}+u_{j}^{T} Q_{2} u_{j}\right) \mid Y_{1: n}=y_{1: n}\right]
$$

## Applications:

■ Guidance and navigation systems [autopilots, driveless cars, dynamical positioning in ships, Apollo program, missiles, ...]


■ econometric time-series analysis and signal processing

- and seed of many approximate Gaussian filtering methods.


## The linear-Gaussian setting

 We consider the dynamics on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ :$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{j+1} & =A V_{j}+\xi_{j}, \quad j=0,1, \ldots \\
V_{0} & \sim N\left(m_{0}, C_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\xi_{j} \stackrel{\text { iid }}{\sim} N(0, \Sigma)$, and the observations on $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ :

$$
Y_{j}=H V_{j}+\eta_{j}, \quad j=1,2, \ldots
$$

with $\eta_{j} \stackrel{i i d}{\sim} N(0, \Gamma)$.
Independence assuptions: $\quad V_{0} \perp\left\{\xi_{j}\right\} \perp\left\{\eta_{j}\right\}$.
Objective: Show that, under assumption $C_{0}, \Sigma, \Gamma>0$,

$$
V_{n}\left|Y_{1: n}=y_{1: n} \sim N\left(m_{n}, C_{n}\right), \quad V_{n+1}\right| Y_{1: n}=y_{1: n} \sim N\left(\hat{m}_{n+1}, \hat{C}_{n+1}\right)
$$

for all $n>0$, and describe recursive formulas for evolution of pdfs

$$
\left(m_{n}, C_{n}\right) \mapsto\left(\hat{m}_{n+1}, \hat{C}_{n+1}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\hat{m}_{n+1}, \hat{C}_{n+1}, y_{n+1}\right) \mapsto\left(m_{n+1}, C_{n+1}\right)
$$

## Gaussianity of the filtering pdfs

Property 1: The dynamics $V_{j+1}=A V_{j}+\xi_{j}$ is Gaussian for any $j \geq 0$.
Motivation: Assuming $V_{j}$ is Gaussian, $A V_{j}+\xi_{j}$ is a linear combination of independent Gaussians, which again is a Gaussian (cf. LSZ 1.5 and Ubung 6). Holds by induction, since $V_{0}$ is Gaussian.

Property 2: If $V_{j} \mid Y_{1: j}=y_{1: j} \sim N\left(m_{j}, C_{j}\right)$, then
$V_{j+1} \mid Y_{1: j}=y_{1: j} \sim N\left(\hat{m}_{j+1}, \hat{C}_{j+1}\right)$ for computable moments with $\hat{C}_{j+1}>0$.
Motivation: Writing $Z_{j}:=V_{j} \mid\left(Y_{1: j}=y_{1: j}\right)$, observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{j+1} \mid\left(Y_{1: j}=y_{1: j}\right) & =A V_{j}+\xi_{j} \mid\left(Y_{1: j}=y_{1: j}\right) \\
& =A\left(V_{j} \mid\left(Y_{1: j}=y_{1: j}\right)\right)+\xi_{j} \\
& =A Z_{j}+\xi_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $V_{j+1} \mid\left(Y_{1: j}=y_{1: j}\right)$ is linear combination of independent Gaussians and thus itself Gaussian. Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{m}_{j+1} & =\mathbb{E}\left[V_{j+1} \mid Y_{1: j}=y_{1: j}\right] \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left[A V_{j}+\xi_{j} \mid Y_{1: j}=y_{1: j}\right] \\
& =A \mathbb{E}\left[V_{j} \mid Y_{1: j}=y_{1: j}\right]+\mathbb{E}\left[\xi_{j}\right] \\
& =A m_{j},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{C}_{j+1} & =\mathbb{E}\left[\left(V_{j+1}-\hat{m}_{j+1}\right)\left(V_{j+1}-\hat{m}_{j+1}\right)^{T} \mid Y_{1: j}=y_{1: j}\right] \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left[\left(A V_{j}+\xi_{j}-A m_{j}\right)\left(A V_{j}+\xi_{j}-A m_{j}\right)^{T} \mid Y_{1: j}=y_{1: j}\right] \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left[A\left(V_{j}-m_{j}\right)\left(V_{j}-m_{j}\right)^{T} A^{T} \mid Y_{1: j}=y_{1: j}\right] \\
& +\mathbb{E}\left[\xi_{j}\right] \mathbb{E}\left[\left(V_{j}-m_{j}\right)^{T} A^{T} \mid Y_{1: j}=y_{1: j}\right] \\
& +\mathbb{E}\left[A\left(V_{j}-m_{j}\right) \mid Y_{1: j}=y_{1: j}\right] \mathbb{E}\left[\xi_{j}^{T}\right]+\mathbb{E}\left[\xi_{j} \xi_{j}^{T}\right] \\
& =A \mathbb{E}\left[\left(V_{j}-m_{j}\right)\left(V_{j}-m_{j}\right)^{T} \mid Y_{1: j}=y_{1: j}\right] A^{T}+\Sigma \\
& =A C_{j} A^{T}+\Sigma .
\end{aligned}
$$

Property 3: If $V_{j+1} \mid Y_{1: j}=y_{1: j} \sim N\left(\hat{m}_{j+1}, \hat{C}_{j+1}\right)$ with $\hat{C}_{j+1}>0$, then for any $y_{j+1} \in \mathbb{R}^{k}$ we have that $V_{j+1} \mid Y_{1: j+1}=y_{1: j+1} \sim N\left(m_{j+1}, C_{j+1}\right)$ and the moments are computable.

Motivation: By the previous derivations and using that

$$
\begin{align*}
\pi\left(v_{j+1} \mid y_{1: j+1}\right) & \propto \pi\left(y_{j+1} \mid v_{j+1}\right) \pi\left(v_{j+1} \mid y_{1: j}\right) \\
& \propto \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left|y_{j+1}-H v_{j+1}\right|_{\Gamma}^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left|v_{j+1}-\hat{m}_{j+1}\right|_{\hat{c}_{j+1}}^{2}\right) \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where we used that

$$
Y_{j+1} \mid V_{j+1}=v_{j+1}=H v_{j+1}+\eta_{j} \sim N\left(H v_{j+1}, \Gamma\right)
$$

Making the ansatz $V_{j+1} \mid Y_{1: j+1}=y_{1: j+1} \sim N\left(m_{j+1}, C_{j+1}\right)$ and equating same-order-term coefficients in the exponent of (4) the exponent of our ansatz pdf

$$
\pi\left(v_{j+1} \mid y_{1: j+1}\right) \propto \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left|v_{j+1}-m_{j+1}\right|_{c_{j+1}}^{2}\right)
$$

verifies the claim.

Moreover, equating quadratic terms yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{j+1}^{-1}=\hat{C}_{j+1}^{-1}+H^{\top} \Gamma^{-1} H \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and equating linear terms yields

$$
C_{j+1}^{-1} m_{j+1}=\hat{C}_{j+1}^{-1} \hat{m}_{j+1}+H^{T} \Gamma^{-1} y_{j+1}
$$

(For more details on equating terms, see similar argument in Lecture 10.)

## Consequence of these properties:

Given a sequence $y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots$,
■ Starting from $V_{0} \sim N\left(m_{0}, C_{0}\right)$ it follows by Property 2 that $V_{1} \sim N\left(\hat{m}_{1}, \hat{C}_{1}\right)$ with

$$
\hat{m}_{1}=A m_{0} \quad \text { and } \quad \hat{C}_{1}=A C_{0} A^{T}+\Sigma>0, \quad \text { since } \Sigma>0
$$

■ Property 3 then implies that $V_{1} \mid Y_{1}=y_{1} \sim N\left(m_{1}, C_{1}\right)$ with computable moments, where

$$
C_{1}^{-1}=\hat{C}_{1}^{-1}+H^{T} \Gamma^{-1} H
$$

is positive definite since $\hat{C}_{1}, \Gamma>0$, and thus invertible.

- By induction, $V_{n+1} \mid Y_{1: n}=y_{1: n} \sim N\left(\hat{m}_{n+1}, \hat{C}_{n+1}\right)$ with $\hat{C}_{n+1}>0$

■ and $V_{n+1} \mid Y_{1: n+1}=y_{n+1} \sim N\left(m_{n+1}, C_{n+1}\right)$ for computable moments with

$$
C_{n+1}^{-1}=\hat{C}_{n+1}^{-1}+H^{T} \Gamma^{-1} H
$$

which is positive definite since $\hat{C}_{n+1}, \Gamma>0$, and thus invertible.

## Theorem 2 (LSZ 4.1)

For the linear-Gaussian filtering problem with $C_{0}, \Sigma, \Gamma>0$, it holds for any observation sequence $y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots$ and $n \geq 1$ that $V_{n} \mid Y_{1: n}=y_{1: n} \sim N\left(m_{n}, C_{n}\right)$ where

$$
C_{n}^{-1}=\hat{C}_{n}^{-1}+H^{\top} \Gamma^{-1} H
$$

is positive definite and thus invertible, and

$$
C_{n}^{-1} m_{n}=\hat{C}_{n}^{-1} \hat{m}_{n}+H^{\top} \Gamma^{-1} y_{n} .
$$

To avoid dealing with the inverse of $C_{n}$, we apply the Woodbury matrix identity (LSZ 4.4) to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{n} & =\left(\hat{C}_{n}^{-1}+H^{T} \Gamma^{-1} H\right)^{-1}=\hat{C}_{n}-\underbrace{\hat{C}_{n} H^{T}\left(H \hat{C}_{n} H^{T}+\Gamma\right)^{-1}}_{=: K_{n}} H \hat{C}_{n} \\
& =\left(I-K_{n} H\right) \hat{C}_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\left.m_{n}=\left(I-K_{n} H\right) \hat{m}_{n}+K_{n} y_{n} \quad \text { (ubung } 7\right)
$$

## Kalman filtering iteration algorithm

Given any sequence $y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots$ and $V_{n} \mid Y_{1: n}=y_{1: n} \sim N\left(m_{n}, C_{n}\right)$ the next-time filtering distributions are iteratively determined by

## Prediction

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{m}_{n+1} & =A m_{n} \\
\hat{C}_{n+1} & =A C_{n} A^{T}+\Sigma
\end{aligned}
$$

and

## Analysis

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
d_{n+1} & =y_{n+1}-H \hat{m}_{n+1} & \text { innovation } \\
K_{n+1} & =\hat{C}_{n+1} H^{T}\left(H \hat{C}_{n+1} H^{T}+\Gamma\right)^{-1} & \text { Kalman gain } \\
m_{n+1} & =\hat{m}_{n+1}+K_{n+1} d_{n+1} & \\
C_{n+1} & =\left(I-K_{n+1} H\right) \hat{C}_{n+1} &
\end{array}
$$

## Example

Dynamics on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{j+1} & =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0.1 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right] V_{j}+\xi_{j}, \\
V_{0} & \sim N\left(\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 / 4 & 0 \\
0 & 1 / 4
\end{array}\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\xi_{j} \stackrel{i i d}{\sim} N(0, \Sigma)$ with $\Sigma=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0.01 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.1\end{array}\right]$.
And observations on $\mathbb{R}$ :

$$
Y_{j}=\underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]}_{H} V_{j}+\eta_{j}, \quad \eta_{j} \stackrel{i i d}{\sim} N(0,1 / 4) .
$$

An observation sequence is generated from synthetic data: $y_{j}=V_{j}^{\dagger}(\omega)+\eta_{j}(\omega)$.

```
% Dynamics parameters
A = [1 0.1; 0 1];
Sigma = [0.01 0; 0 0.1];
m0 = [0; 1]; C0 = [1/4 0; 0 1/4];
%Observation parameters
H = [0 1];Gamma = 1/4;
n =40;
%generate observation sequence
rng(12009) %set seed for reproducibility
v = zeros(2, n+1); y = zeros(1,n);
v(:,1) = m0+ sqrt(C0)*randn(2,1);
for j=1:n
    v(:,j+1) = A*v(:,j) + sqrt(Sigma)*randn(2,1);
    y(j) = H*v(:,j+1) + sqrt(Gamma)*randn();
end
```


## Continuation of Matlab program

\% Filtering distributions
m = zeros(2, n+1);
C $=\operatorname{zeros}(2,2, n+1)$;

```
\(m(:, 1)=m 0\);
C(:,:,1) = C0;
for \(\mathrm{j}=1\) : n
    \%prediction step
    \(m(:, j+1)=A * m(:, j)\);
    \(C(:,:, j+1)=A * C(:,:, j) * A{ }^{\prime}+\) Sigma;
```

    \%Analysis
    \(\mathrm{K} \quad=\mathrm{C}(:,:, j+1) * \mathrm{H}^{\prime} /\left(\mathrm{H} * \mathrm{C}(:,:, j+1) * \mathrm{H}^{\prime}+\right.\) Gamma \()\);
    \(m(:, j+1)=m(:, j+1)+K *(y(j)-H * m(:, j+1))\);
    \(C(:,:, j+1)=(e y e(2)-K * H) * C(:,:, j+1) ;\)
    end

## Numerical results - noisy case




Figure: Left pair of figures: Evolution of first component, mean and "one standard deviation" grey uncertainty region in the right plot. Right pair of figures: Same for the second component, but here also including measurements.

What is a good error measure? Is it $\left\|m-v^{\dagger}\right\|$ or $\left\|m_{n, 2}-y_{n}\right\|$, or should we also rely on uncertainty regions?

## Numerical results - "noiseless case"

We consider the same problem, but now with almost no noise, except for in $V_{0,1}$ :

$$
C_{0}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 / 4 & 0 \\
0 & 10^{-6}
\end{array}\right], \quad \Sigma=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
10^{-6} & 0 \\
0 & 10^{-6}
\end{array}\right], \quad \Gamma=10^{-6} .
$$





Note that uncertainty in first component remains for all times!

## Numerical results - "noiseless case 2"

We consider the same problem, but now with almost no noise, except for in 「:

$$
C_{0}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
10^{-6} & 0 \\
0 & 10^{-6}
\end{array}\right], \quad \Sigma=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
10^{-6} & 0 \\
0 & 10^{-6}
\end{array}\right], \quad \Gamma=1 / 4
$$



$|\Gamma| \gg\left|C_{n}\right| \Longrightarrow\left|K_{n}\right| \ll 1 \Longrightarrow$ we do almost not take observations into account, and then the problem is almost deterministic.

## Numerical results - "noiseless case 3"

We consider the same problem, but now with almost no noise, except for in $\Sigma_{22}$ :

$$
C_{0}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
10^{-6} & 0 \\
0 & 10^{-6}
\end{array}\right], \quad \Sigma=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
10^{-6} & 0 \\
0 & 0.1
\end{array}\right], \quad \Gamma=10^{-6}
$$




Very accurate observations $y_{n} \approx V_{n, 2}$ means that by relying on the observations (and not the model) in $V_{n, 2}$, we can track it very accurately.

## Numerical results - "noiseless case 4"

We consider the same problem, but now with almost no noise, except for in $\Sigma_{22}$ and $\Gamma$ :

$$
C_{0}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
10^{-6} & 0 \\
0 & 10^{-6}
\end{array}\right], \quad \Sigma=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
10^{-6} & 0 \\
0 & 0.1
\end{array}\right], \quad \Gamma=1 / 4
$$



Both noisy dynamics and uncertain observations in the second component introduces uncertainty in both components.

## Numerical results - "noiseless case 5"

We consider the same problem, but now with almost no noise, except for in $\Sigma_{11}$ :

$$
C_{0}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
10^{-6} & 0 \\
0 & 10^{-6}
\end{array}\right], \quad \Sigma=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0.1 & 0 \\
0 & 10^{-6}
\end{array}\right], \quad \Gamma=10^{-6}
$$




Noisy dynamics in the first component, an unobserved component and does not influence the dynamics of the second component, will (almost) only introduce uncertainty in the first component.

## Summary

For a filtering problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{j+1} & =\Psi\left(V_{j}\right)+\xi_{j} \\
Y_{j} & =h\left(V_{j}\right)+\eta_{j}, \quad j=1,2, \ldots,
\end{aligned}
$$

with Gaussian noise and initial condition and $\Psi$ and $h$ linear mappings, we have derived iterative formulas for the distribution $V_{n} \mid Y_{1: n}=y_{1: n}$.

- Theory extends straightforwardly to settings with time-dependence: $\Psi_{n}(v)=A_{n} v, h(v)=H_{n} v, \Sigma_{n}, \Gamma_{n}$.
- Also possible to derive the moments for the Kalman smoother distribution $V_{0: n} \mid Y_{1: n}=y_{1: n}$, which also is a Gaussian, cf. LSZ 3.1

■ Next time, we will look at Approximate Gaussian filters, which are extensions of Kalman filtering to nonlinear settings.

■ No lectures or ubung during Pentecost week. Next lecture on Monday, June 8.

